

Impact of New CC on Cross Traffic

S. Floyd

TCP Round Table

November 2007

The question:

- How to assess the impact of new CC on cross-traffic (web-traffic, multimedia, other long transfers using different CC).

Approaches from the literature:

- Look at **response functions**. (Sending rate as a function of packet drop rate, for a single flow with fixed RTTs.)
 - Example: RFC 3649, HSTCP.
- Compare **aggregate throughput** of TCP flows with aggregate throughput of NewCC flows.
 - X-axis: Number of web sessions.
 - Scenario includes reverse-path traffic.
 - Examples: RFC 4828, TFRC-SP, Figures 12-21.
 - The two aggregates being compared could include both long-lived and web traffic.

Congested links with different ranges of RTTs.

- Look at **a range of congested links**:
 - A local network (e.g., within a single institution), a transoceanic link, and a satellite link, as well as a congested link with a standard range of round-trip times.
 - Why? To evaluate proposals where the level of aggressiveness is a function of the RTT.

Make sure there is a **realistic range of connection sizes!**

- This includes **medium-size flows** slow-starting up to large windows, and then terminating.
 - This introduces typical cases of transient delay and packet drops.

Make sure that flows have
staggered start times:

- So that some flows start out when the queue is already high from another flow slow-starting.
 - (E.g., for delay-based congestion control.)

Some NewCC mechanisms need to be tested for fairness under a **range of queue mechanisms.**

- E.g., RFC 4828, TFRC-SP.
 - The fairness is quite different for Drop-Tail queues in packets, Drop-Tail queues in bytes, AQM in packet mode, and AQM in byte mode.

Bandwidth stolen from TCP:

- Let there be two groups of flows, A and B.
 - Case 1: Groups A and B both use TCP.
 - Case 2: Group A uses TCP, group B uses NewCC.
- Compare Group A's fraction of bandwidth in Case 1 with Group A's fraction of the bandwidth in Case 2.
 - The difference between the two fractions is the fraction of the bandwidth stolen from group A by the NewCC.
- Example: RFC 3649, HSTCP.

Approaches from the literature, for **proposals for faster start-ups**:

- Plot **drop rates for regular traffic** when a fraction of the traffic is using NewCC.
 - X-axis: Number of web sessions.
 - Example: SAF07 paper for Quick-Start.
- Plot **flow completion times** for regular traffic, with and without NewCC enabled for other traffic.
 - Example: SAF07 paper for Quick-Start.

Approaches from the literature:
slowly-responding CC.

A benefit of testbeds:

- In addition to queueing delay, testbeds might exhibit delay due to **router CPU delay**, delay at firewalls, etc.
 - This would be very interesting, particularly for evaluating delay-based congestion control.

The impact on streaming media?

- Measure the **average queueing delay**, and the **average packet drop rates**, with and without the NewCC.
- **Include streaming media**, and look at the delay and packet drop rates experienced by those flows?

Stress-testing fairness of delay-based congestion control?

- ?